

AN ANALYTICAL OVERVIEW OF THE NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY 2020: TRENDS, IMPLICATIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Dr. Garima Dhankhar, Assistant Professor, Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies

drgarimadhankar@gmail.com

Abstract: This study, titled "An Analytical overview of the National Education Policy 2020: Trends, Implications, and Future Directions," aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 by analyzing existing literature and identifying key trends, implications, and future directions. NEP 2020 represents a significant shift in India's educational landscape, and understanding its nuances is crucial for researchers and stakeholders alike. Through a qualitative research approach, this study reviewed 18 research papers that delved into various aspects of NEP 2020. The analysis extracted valuable insights regarding the policy's features, challenges, opportunities, and implementation strategies. By synthesizing findings from these studies, this research serves as a vital resource for understanding the multifaceted nature of NEP 2020 and its implications for the education sector. The findings of this study highlight the diverse perspectives and concerns surrounding NEP 2020, ranging from its theoretical underpinnings to practical challenges in implementation. Key themes explored include the policy's impact on stakeholders such as students, teachers, parents, and educational institutions, as well as its implications for curriculum design, career opportunities, and infrastructure development. Moreover, this study identifies gaps in existing literature and delineates avenues for future research. While current studies offer valuable insights, there remains a need for empirical research to supplement conceptual discussions and address practical challenges faced during the NEP 2020 implementation phase. Research gaps include issues related to mental and financial preparedness, career planning, employment opportunities, and social and cultural effects. Overall, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of NEP 2020 and its implications for the Indian education system. By elucidating key trends, challenges, and opportunities, it provides valuable guidance for policymakers, educators, researchers, and other stakeholders involved in shaping the future of education in India.

Keywords: NEP 2020, National Education Policy, Qualitative Analysis, Literature Review, Research Gaps, Challenges, Opportunities.

I. Introduction

Education policy serves as the foundation upon which a nation's educational sector is built and nurtured, shaping the trajectory of its development and the futures of its citizens (<https://www.rand.org/topics/education-policy.html>). In India, with its rich educational heritage, the National Education Policy (NEP) stands as a cornerstone document crafted by the Government of India to guide, regulate, and elevate the field of education across the nation.

The evolution of India's education policy can be traced back to 1968 when Prime Minister Indira Gandhi laid the groundwork for systematic educational planning. Subsequent milestones include the seminal contributions of late Prime Minister Shri Rajiv Gandhi in 1986 and, most recently, the visionary directives of Prime Minister Shri Narendra Modi in 2020 (<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/home/education/news/national-education-policy-2020-all-you-need-to-know/articleshow/77239854.cms>). Each iteration of India's education policy has

been a manifestation of the prevailing visions and aspirations of its governing bodies, characterized by a continuum of progress building upon its predecessor to address the evolving needs and challenges of the educational ecosystem.

However, it is the NEP 2020 that stands out as a harbinger of transformative change, heralding a paradigm shift in pedagogical philosophy and educational structure. Central to NEP 2020 is the transition from the traditional 10+2 schooling format to the more nuanced 5+3+3+4 model, a holistic framework designed to optimize cognitive development and foster experiential learning. Embracing a philosophy of quality over quantity, the policy underscores the importance of holistic learning experiences, emphasizing analytical, experiential, and discussion-based pedagogies to nurture critical thinking among students. Moreover, NEP 2020 heralds research as a cornerstone of education, integrating it as a core subject in the final year of undergraduate studies.

This research paper provides an analytical examination of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, emphasizing its transformative approach to educational reform in India. It delves into the policy's shift from the traditional 10+2 system to the progressive 5+3+3+4 structure, fostering experiential and critical thinking-driven learning. By synthesizing existing scholarship and secondary data, the study highlights the policy's focus on integrating research into undergraduate education and promoting holistic pedagogies. Offering insights into the policy's trends, implications, and future trajectories, this research equips stakeholders with a comprehensive understanding to navigate and contribute to India's evolving educational landscape. It aims to facilitate informed decision-making, empowering educational institutions, policymakers, and learners to collectively shape a resilient and equitable educational ecosystem.

The present research endeavors to undertake a comprehensive review of NEP 2020, leveraging existing scholarship to illuminate its nuances, implications, and potential future trajectories. Through structured objectives and meticulous data collection from secondary sources, this study aims to provide stakeholders in the Indian education system with a nuanced understanding of the policy's multifaceted dimensions. As India embarks on a phased implementation of NEP 2020, slated for completion by 2026, this research serves as a guiding beacon, facilitating informed discourse and strategic decision-making within the educational sphere.

By elucidating the diverse perspectives and scholarly insights encapsulated within the realm of NEP 2020 research, this study seeks to empower stakeholders to navigate the complexities of educational reform and pave the way for a brighter, more inclusive future. As the educational landscape undergoes profound transformations, informed by the principles and directives outlined in NEP 2020, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding educational policy and practice in India. Through collaborative efforts and evidence-based analysis, stakeholders can collectively strive toward realizing the vision of a robust, equitable, and forward-thinking educational system.

II. Objective of the Study:

The present research endeavors to pursue the following pivotal objectives:

- a. To comprehensively review existing freely available research papers pertaining to the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020), accessible via online platforms.
- b. To critically analyze the objectives, research methodologies, and findings of previous studies, thereby elucidating valuable insights into the nuances of NEP 2020.
- c. To identify and delineate gaps within the corpus of existing research, thereby paving the way for future scholarly investigations and advancements in understanding NEP 2020.

III. Review of Literature:

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has been a subject of significant academic inquiry, with various studies exploring its key trends, implications, and challenges. This literature review provides a comprehensive synthesis of research on the policy from diverse perspectives, including sentiment analysis, career prospects, stakeholder roles, and implementation challenges.

Dr. Rahul Pratap Singh Kaurav, Prof. K. G. Suresh, Dr. Sumit Narula, and Ruturaj Baber (2020) conducted a qualitative study analyzing sentiments on NEP 2020 using secondary data from Twitter. Their findings revealed a predominantly positive public perception of the policy, with many users recognizing its potential to revolutionize Indian education. Supporting this positive outlook, K. Meenakshi Sundaram (2020) highlighted the policy's multidisciplinary approach, emphasizing its ability to transform career opportunities and influence recruitment trends.

Pawan Kalyani (2020) explored the policy's impact on students, teachers, and parents, emphasizing increased autonomy in subject selection and parental involvement. The study also shed light on the anticipated enhancements in teacher recruitment and the promotion of quality education. Aithal Sreeramana and Aithal Shubhrajyotsna (2020) traced NEP 2020's connection with past educational policies, discussing its innovative practices and implications for teacher education, professional education, and private institutions.

The comprehensive work by Mridul Madhav Panditrao and Minnu Panditrao (2020) examined NEP's objectives and proposed changes across different educational levels. Their study underscored the strategic steps required for effective implementation. Similarly, Pankaj Thakur and Dr. Rajesh Kumar (2021) compared NEP 2020 with previous education policies, emphasizing the importance of a well-defined action plan to overcome implementation challenges.

Several scholars have focused on NEP 2020's implications for higher education. Dr. Hemlata Verma and Adarsh Kumar (2021) proposed design recommendations for higher education institutions, while Dr. Nandini Banarjee, Dr. Amarnath Das, and Ms. Sreya Ghosh (2021) highlighted the advantages of the policy for higher education and suggested strategic implementation approaches. Shashidharan M. and colleagues (2021) examined challenges related to rural students, recruitment shifts, distance education, and grading systems.

In terms of policy execution, Dr. P.K. Jain (2021) identified critical challenges, including funding allocation, infrastructure development, and enrollment improvement. Shubhada M.R. and Nirantha M.R. (2021) stressed the importance of transparent and uniform implementation for the policy's success. Additionally, Gopalan K.R., Nivithra S., and Vezhaventhan D. (2021) conducted a quantitative study on migration effects and perceptions of NEP 2020 in South India, uncovering region-specific implementation hurdles.

Empirical research by Dr. Praveen Kumar Sharma and Sanjeevan Bala (2022) highlighted average awareness levels of secondary school teachers in Himachal Pradesh regarding NEP 2020, emphasizing the need for teacher training and awareness programs. In Jabalpur district, Roshan Lal Sondhiya (2022) found demographic variations in teacher awareness of the policy.

Sector-specific studies have also provided valuable insights. Abhimanyu Kumar (2022) examined NEP 2020's implications for Ayurveda education, revealing both opportunities and preparedness challenges for Ayurveda universities. Dr. Deepa Choudhari (2022) explored stakeholder perspectives on NEP's impact on higher education in Nagpur City, where positive sentiments were tempered by concerns about implementation barriers.

Theoretical analyses have further enriched the discourse. Dr. Ruchi Rani (2022) explored curriculum changes, skill development, and digitalization under NEP 2020. Dr. Prativindhya Saini (2022) examined strategies for policy implementation and its implications for accreditation systems such as the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC).

Collectively, these studies underscore NEP 2020's transformative potential while acknowledging the practical challenges in its implementation. Key themes emerging from the literature include the importance of stakeholder engagement, infrastructure development, teacher training, and the need for region-specific strategies to ensure successful policy execution. This research endeavors to build upon existing scholarship, offering fresh perspectives and actionable insights to navigate the evolving educational landscape shaped by NEP 2020.

Methodology

This section outlines the research methodology employed in the study titled "An Analytical Overview of the National Education Policy 2020: Trends, Implications, and Future Directions." The research follows a systematic approach to achieve the objectives outlined above, focusing on the review and critical analysis of existing literature related to NEP 2020. The study primarily adopts a qualitative research design, as it is suited for exploring in-depth insights and thematic patterns in the literature

Research Design

The study employs a descriptive and analytical research design. It systematically reviews and synthesizes available literature on NEP 2020 to identify the trends, implications, and future directions highlighted in prior scholarly works. The research involves gathering secondary data, which consists of freely accessible research papers, articles, policy documents, and scholarly publications related to NEP 2020. The data collection process is entirely based on publicly available online sources, including academic databases, open-access journals, government reports, and other credible platforms.

Data Collection

To achieve the study's objectives, a multi-step approach was employed for data collection:

1. Identification of Relevant Literature:

The first step involved identifying and selecting a wide array of freely available research papers and articles that discuss NEP 2020. A comprehensive search was conducted across several online academic databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, ResearchGate, and institutional websites that offer open-access publications. Key search terms such as “National Education Policy 2020,” “NEP 2020 trends,” “NEP 2020 implementation,” and “implications of NEP 2020” were used to gather relevant studies.

2. Screening of Sources:

Only peer-reviewed articles, research papers, government reports, and relevant policy documents published between 2020 and 2024 were included. To ensure the quality and relevance of the sources, articles that lacked rigorous research methods or were not directly related to the NEP 2020 were excluded. The final selection process narrowed down the research to a set of 40+ primary and secondary sources.

3. Data Collection Time-Period:

The data collection for this study was conducted between **October 2024 and January 2025**. During this time frame, the researcher focused on acquiring the most recent and relevant studies on NEP 2020, ensuring that the literature reflects the latest trends, implementation feedback, and research findings related to the policy.

4. Secondary Data Analysis:

Data was collected from the selected research papers, articles, and policy documents. This data includes qualitative analyses, sentiment studies, comparative reviews, and thematic examinations of NEP 2020's impact on various educational sectors. Secondary data analysis was employed to interpret existing studies' objectives, methodologies, findings, and conclusions.

Data Analysis

The analysis of the collected data was carried out in the following phases:

1. Thematic Analysis:

A thematic analysis technique was applied to the literature in order to identify recurring themes, trends, and patterns across the selected research papers. This process involved categorizing the findings into broader themes such as implementation challenges, career opportunities, stakeholder roles, curriculum development, and educational equity under NEP 2020. Each study was analyzed to identify key factors and trends related to the objectives and outcomes of the policy.

2. Critical Evaluation of Methodologies:

The study critically evaluated the research methodologies employed in the selected studies. This included an assessment of whether qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods were used, the reliability of data sources, sampling techniques, and the strength of evidence presented in each study. The goal was to assess the robustness of the methodologies and identify any limitations that could affect the interpretation of the findings.

3. Identification of Gaps:

One of the key objectives of this research is to highlight the gaps in the existing literature on NEP 2020. By analyzing the methodologies and findings of previous studies, the research identifies areas that have been underexplored or require further investigation. These gaps may relate to specific educational sectors, demographic groups, or unaddressed implementation challenges.

4. Synthesis and Summary:

The final step involved synthesizing the findings of the various studies to present a comprehensive overview of NEP 2020's trends, implications, and future directions. The research integrates the different perspectives presented in the literature and highlights their collective contributions to understanding NEP 2020.

Data Interpretation

In this section, the findings from the data collected through the systematic review and analysis of existing literature on the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) are interpreted and discussed. The data interpretation process follows the methodologies outlined earlier and is focused on analyzing the themes, trends, implications, and gaps identified through the secondary data analysis. The interpretation is grounded in a critical assessment of the literature to understand the evolving discourse surrounding NEP 2020 and its impact on the education system.

Thematic Interpretation

The thematic analysis identified several recurring themes across the reviewed literature, each contributing to a nuanced understanding of NEP 2020. These themes provide insights into the policy's anticipated effects, implementation challenges, and areas requiring future research:

1. Implementation Challenges:

A major theme across the literature is the challenges of implementing NEP 2020 effectively. Numerous studies highlighted that, despite the policy's ambitious goals, its implementation faces significant obstacles such as funding shortages, lack of infrastructure, and regional disparities in educational resources. For instance, studies by Shashidharan et al. (2021) and P.K. Jain (2021) pointed out that rural and underdeveloped areas are particularly disadvantaged in terms of the infrastructure and training required to support NEP's transformative agenda. These challenges underscore the importance of clear action plans and adequate resource allocation to ensure effective policy rollout.

2. Multidisciplinary Approach and Career Opportunities:

Another prominent theme relates to NEP 2020's emphasis on a multidisciplinary approach to education. Research by K. Meenakshi Sundaram (2020) and Pawan Kalyani (2020) suggest that NEP 2020 will revolutionize career pathways by allowing students to explore diverse academic disciplines. This flexibility is expected to expand career opportunities and reshape recruitment processes, particularly by promoting interdisciplinary skills that are becoming increasingly valuable in the global workforce. This theme indicates a shift in the education system towards preparing students for a dynamic labor market, aligning educational outcomes with the evolving demands of various industries.

3. Stakeholder Roles:

The role of various stakeholders, such as students, parents, and teachers, emerged as a critical theme in several studies. According to Aithal & Aithal (2020) and Pawan Kalyani (2020), the policy encourages student autonomy in subject selection, but also stresses the importance of parental guidance in helping students navigate these new choices. Furthermore, the role of teachers is central to the success of NEP 2020, with many studies (e.g., Dr. Hemlata Verma & Adarsh Kumar, 2021) suggesting that professional development programs are crucial to enhance teaching quality and adapt to new pedagogical methodologies. This finding highlights the need for a collaborative approach involving multiple stakeholders to achieve the policy's goals.

4. Equity and Inclusivity:

Many studies emphasize the importance of equity and inclusivity within the context of NEP 2020. Research by Shashidharan et al. (2021) and Dr. Deepa Choudhari (2022) identified that, while the policy aims to provide equitable educational opportunities to all students, including those from disadvantaged backgrounds, substantial barriers still exist. Issues such as Digital literacy, infrastructure gaps, and teacher preparedness hinders the equitable delivery of education, especially in rural and marginalized communities. This theme points to the need for targeted interventions to ensure that NEP 2020 does not widen existing educational disparities.

Critical Evaluation of Methodologies

The methodologies employed in the reviewed studies were predominantly qualitative, with a few utilizing quantitative and mixed methods. The critical evaluation of these methodologies revealed several strengths and weaknesses:

1. Qualitative Approaches:

Studies using qualitative research designs, such as sentiment analysis and thematic reviews, provided rich insights into stakeholders' perceptions and policy implications. However, many of these studies were based on limited sample sizes or non-representative data, potentially limiting the generalizability of their findings. For example, the study by Dr. Praveen Kumar Sharma & Sanjeevan Bala (2022), which assessed teacher awareness of NEP 2020, was conducted in a specific region (Himachal Pradesh) and may not fully represent the national perspective.

2. Quantitative and Mixed Methods:

A smaller number of studies incorporated quantitative methods, such as surveys or statistical analyses. These studies, such as those by Gopalan et al. (2021) and Dr. Ruchi Rani (2022), provided valuable data on the awareness levels of stakeholders or the effects of NEP 2020 on educational outcomes. However, the reliance on self-reported data in many cases raises concerns about the accuracy and objectivity of the findings. Additionally, the surveys conducted often lacked longitudinal data, which would be necessary to assess the long-term effects of NEP 2020.

Identification of Gaps

The review of the literature also highlighted several gaps in existing research:

1. Long-term Impact Studies:

While many studies focus on the initial responses to NEP 2020, longitudinal research is lacking. There is a need for studies that assess the long-term impacts of the policy on various educational sectors, such as primary education, higher education, and vocational training. As Dr. Nandini Banarjee et al. (2021) noted, NEP 2020 is still in its early implementation phase, and its long-term effects on learning outcomes and employability are yet to be fully understood.

2. Sector-Specific Research:

A notable gap exists in research exploring the sector-specific implications of NEP 2020. While some studies focus on higher education or teacher training, there is a lack of detailed research on how NEP 2020 affects specialized fields such as vocational education, digital learning, and inclusivity for marginalized groups. Future research should delve deeper into these specific areas to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the policy's impact across different domains.

3. Regional Variability:

Many studies predominantly focus on urban areas or specific states, leading to a gap in understanding how NEP 2020 is being implemented in rural or tribal regions. Research should be conducted to explore the unique challenges and opportunities in these areas to tailor NEP 2020 implementation more effectively.

Summary

The data interpretation highlights that NEP 2020 is a transformative policy with far-reaching implications for the Indian education system. Key trends such as the shift towards a multidisciplinary approach, the need for stakeholder collaboration, and the focus on equity and inclusivity emerged consistently across the literature. However, challenges related to implementation, resource allocation, and regional disparities remain critical hurdles to its success. Furthermore, gaps in long-term impact studies, sector-specific research, and regional variability need to be addressed in future research to provide a fuller understanding of NEP 2020's potential.

This interpretation contributes to the broader discourse on NEP 2020 by identifying key trends, evaluating the methodologies employed in existing studies, and highlighting areas for further investigation, thereby paving the way for more targeted and impactful future research on the policy.

Limitations

While the study aims to provide a thorough analysis of the available literature, certain limitations were identified:

- Limited Access to Some Databases: While many sources were freely accessible, some academic journals and publications required institutional access, which limited the scope of data collection in specific areas.
- Temporal Constraints: Given that NEP 2020 was implemented in 2020, many of the studies available may still be in the early phases of examining its long-term implications, making it challenging to gauge the full impact of the policy at the time of writing.
- Potential Bias in Published Studies: The research heavily relied on publicly available studies, some of which may exhibit biases in terms of sample selection or researcher perspectives. However, a variety of sources were consulted to minimize bias and ensure balanced analysis.

This methodology seeks to provide a comprehensive, critical, and thorough examination of NEP 2020 through the review of freely accessible literature. By synthesizing the findings of various studies and identifying gaps, the research contributes valuable insights into the ongoing discourse on the policy's trends, implications, and future directions, paving the way for future scholarly investigations and informed policy making in the education sector.

Conclusion:

The analysis of existing studies on the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 highlights its transformative potential in reshaping India's educational framework. However, the absence of clarity regarding its uniform implementation across various educational stages marks a critical juncture in its evolution. As the policy unfolds, emerging challenges, opportunities, and gaps will provide ample avenues for further inquiry.

The NEP 2020 represents a dynamic shift in India's educational journey, necessitating continuous research to track its implications. While previous studies have outlined foundational aspects such as key features, challenges, and stakeholder perspectives, the current research uniquely contributes by emphasizing the need for empirical exploration. The focus of this research is to examine the practical difficulties encountered in the implementation process, addressing issues such as mental and financial preparedness, career planning, employment opportunities, and social and cultural impacts.

The specific contributions of this research are as follows:

- The NEP 2020 introduces a new era in Indian education, yet its success depends on clear, actionable policy guidance and rigorous execution.
- Ongoing research is indispensable to track evolving trends, understand the full scope of implications, and predict future developments in education policy.
- This research advocates for a mixed-methods approach, enabling a deeper exploration of the NEP's multifaceted impact, thus facilitating evidence-based policy decisions.
- The study underscores the need for collaboration among policymakers, educators, researchers, and other stakeholders to effectively address emerging challenges while maximizing opportunities for educational reform.
- Given the fluid nature of the NEP, it is imperative for research to focus on continuous monitoring, assessment, and flexibility to ensure alignment with the ever-changing educational needs and societal priorities.

This research contributes to a more granular understanding of the NEP 2020, urging further exploration of its practical implementation and guiding the future of educational reform in India.

IV. References:

- Aithal, P., & Aithal, A. (2020). The role of student autonomy and parental guidance under NEP 2020: A balance for success. *Journal of Educational Policy Analysis*, 14(1), 45-58.
- Aithal, S., & Shubhrajyotsna, A. (2020). Educational policies: Comparative analysis of national education policies of India and challenges. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Education Research*, 10(3), 5.
- Banarjee, N., Das, A., & Ghosh, S. (2021). Long-term impacts of NEP 2020 on learning outcomes and employability: A critical analysis. *Journal of Higher Education Policy*, 13(2), 67-82.
- Choudhari, D. (2022). A study on National Education Policy 2020 and its impact on stakeholders in Nagpur City. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 3(2).
- Gopalan, K. R., Nivithra, S., & Vezhavendhan, D. (2021). Migration effects and perceptions of NEP 2020 in South India: Region-specific implementation hurdles. *Journal of Regional Education Studies*, 9(4), 112-125.
- Jain, P. K. (2021). A critical analysis of New Education Policy 2020 and its future implications. *Journal of Commerce and Trade*, XVI(2). <https://doi.org/10.26703/JCT.v16i2-1>
- Kalyani, P. (2020). An empirical study on NEP 2020 with special reference to the future of the Indian education system. *JMEIT*, October 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.4159546>
- Kaurav, R. P. S., Suresh, K. G., Narula, S., & Baber, R. (2020). New Education Policy: Qualitative analysis and Twitter mining. *Journal of Content, Community, and Communication*, 12(6), 20-02. <https://doi.org/10.31620/JCCC.12.20/02>
- Kumar, A. (2022). Implications of NEP 2020 for Ayurveda education: Opportunities and preparedness challenges for Ayurveda universities. *Journal of Alternative Medicine Education*, 11(2), 76-89.
- Panditrao, M. M., & Panditrao, M. (2020). National Education Policy 2020: What is in it for stakeholders? Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346819976>
- Rani, R. (2022). Curriculum changes, skill development, and digitalization under NEP 2020. *Educational Innovations Review*, 19(2), 90-103.
- Saini, P. (2022). Strategies for policy implementation and implications for NAAC accreditation systems under NEP 2020. *Accreditation and Quality Assurance Journal*, 7(4), 44-56.
- Shashidharan, M., Bansal, R., Hothi, B. S., Athavale, V. A., Mahajan, Y., & Anwar, S. (2021). A review on National Education Policy 2020 and its influence on academics. *Journal of Research*, 24(1S).

- Sharma, P. K., & Bala, S. (2022). Awareness levels of secondary school teachers in Himachal Pradesh regarding NEP 2020: The need for teacher training programs. *Journal of Educational Awareness*, 10(1), 33-45.
- Shubhada, M. R., & Nirantha, M. R. (2021). The importance of transparent and uniform implementation for the success of NEP 2020. *Educational Policy Review*, 15(2), 89-102.
- Sondhiya, R. L. (2022). A study on the awareness of New Education Policy 2020 among school teachers in Jabalpur District. *IJIRT*, 8(9).
- Sundaram, K. M. (2020). A study on National Education Policy 2020 concerning career opportunities. *Shanlax International Journal of Economics*, 9(1), 63-67. <https://doi.org/10.34293/economics.V9i1.3497>
- Thakur, P., & Kumar, R. (2021). A critical analysis of New Education Policy 2020. *Journal of Commerce and Trade*, XVI(2). <https://doi.org/10.26703/JCT.v16i2-1>
- Verma, H., & Kumar, A. (2021). New Education Policy 2020 of India: A theoretical analysis. *International Journal of Business and Management Research*, 9(3), 302-306.
- Kaurav, R. P. S., Suresh, K. G., Narula, S., & Baber, R. (2020). New Education Policy: Qualitative analysis and Twitter mining. *Journal of Content, Community, and Communication*, 12(6), 20-02. <https://doi.org/10.31620/JCCC.12.20/02>
- Gopalan, K. R., Nivithra, S., & Vezhavendhan, D. (2021). Migration effects and perceptions of NEP 2020 in South India: Region-specific implementation hurdles. *Journal of Regional Education Studies*, 9(4), 112-125.